

LONDON BOROUGH OF BROMLEY

MINUTES

of the proceedings of the Meeting of the
Council of the Borough
held at 7.00 pm on 10 October 2022

Present:

**The Worshipful the Mayor
Councillor Hannah Gray**

**The Deputy Mayor
Councillor Christine Harris**

Councillors

Jeremy Adams	Kira Gabbert	Alexa Michael
Jonathan Andrews	Adam Jude Grant	Angela Page
Jessica Arnold	Dr Sunil Gupta FRCP	Chris Price
Felicity Bainbridge	FRCPPath	Chloe-Jane Ross
Kathy Bance MBE	Colin Hitchins	Will Rowlands
Yvonne Bear	Alisa Igoe	Shaun Slator
Nicholas Bennett J.P.	Julie Ireland	Colin Smith
Kim Botting FRSA	Mike Jack	Diane Smith
Mike Botting	Simon Jeal	Mark Smith
Mark Brock	David Jefferys	Alison Stammers
David Cartwright QFSM	Charles Joel	Melanie Stevens
Graeme Casey	Kevin Kennedy-Brooks	Harry Stranger
Will Connolly	Josh King	Michael Tickner
Aisha Cuthbert	Jonathan Laidlaw	Pauline Tunnicliffe
Peter Dean	Kate Lymer	Thomas Turrell
Sophie Dunbar	Keith Onslow	Sam Webber
Robert Evans	Tony Owen	Rebecca Wiffen
Simon Fawthrop	Tony McPartlan	

The meeting was opened with prayers

Before the start of the meeting, the Mayor led Members in a few moments of silence in memory of Honorary Alderman Peter Bloomfield, who had served as member for Darwin ward for twenty eight years, who had died recently.

In the Chair
The Mayor
Councillor Hannah Gray

38 Apologies for absence

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Andrew Lee, Christopher Marlow, Ruth McGregor and Ryan Thomson.

39 Declarations of Interest

There were no additional declarations of interest.

40 To confirm the Minutes of the meetings of the Council held on 25 July and 15 September 2022

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meetings held on 25th July and 15th September 2022 be confirmed.

41 Questions

One question had been received from a member of the public for oral reply. The question, with the answer given, is set out in Appendix A to these minutes.

One question had been received from a member of the public for written reply. The question, with the answer given, is set out in Appendix B to these minutes.

Nine questions had been received from members of the Council for oral reply. The questions, with the replies given, are set out in Appendix C to these minutes.

Twelve questions had been received from members of the Council for written reply. The questions, with the answers given, are set out in Appendix D to these minutes.

42 To consider any statements that may be made by the Leader of the Council, Portfolio Holders or Chairmen of Committees.

A statement was made by Councillor Aisha Cuthbert, Portfolio Holder for Sustainability, Green Services and Open Spaces, on the temporary closure of the Churchfields Waste and Recycling Depot and the timetable for its re-opening at the request of Councillors Josh King, Jeremy Adams, Rebecca Arnold, Ryan Thomson and Kevin Kennedy-Brooks.

Councillor Cuthbert explained that she was working hard with officers to ensure that the Centre was re-opened as quickly as possible, but safety for everyone using or working at the site was an absolute priority. The Centre had been closed on 12th September following the collapse of three side-walls. A structural survey had been carried on 22nd September and the Council had received a report on 7th October. The report recommended that eight of the eleven bay walls should be re-constructed and officers were working with a contractor to arrange this. With the complexity of the issues and the potential

problems with global supply chains the current estimate was that the Centre could re-open around Christmas. As soon as a more defined timeline was available this would be shared with residents.

Services for trade-waste customers were continuing from Churchfields using a part of the site that had not been damaged, and trade waste customers were encouraged to use Churchfields to relieve the pressure on Waldo Road. Whilst the repairs were being made residents were asked to use the bulky waste and garden waste services, and to use the Waldo Road Centre.

The Portfolio Holder stated that she had visited the Centre and recorded a video for sharing on social media. She added that shouting, swearing or abuse of staff at Churchfields was completely inappropriate, and stated that she would ask officers to check that permits were still being checked by Veolia staff at the depots.

In response to questions, Councillor Cuthbert confirmed that there was a small increase in customers using Waldo Road. There were concerns about congestion and road safety, particularly during the spike of activity at the weekend, that she had asked officers to look at, but she anticipated that the closure would be for a relatively short period and going back to the booking system used during the lockdown was likely to cause more confusion. She also agreed that action was needed to prevent fly-tipping.

Councillor Cuthbert agreed that there needed to be more prominent communication with residents – she would ensure that there was an update every Friday, new signs were being prepared to display at the site itself and there would be more use of social media. She welcomed suggestions that better use should be made of the Residents Associations and MyBromley accounts to inform residents about issues like this, and to encourage use of the webcam to establish whether the Centre was busy or not. She did not accept that maintenance of the facilities at Churchfields had been neglected. Given the current budget pressures, there would not be a temporary waiver or reduction of charges for bulky waste collection or garden waste collection

43 Budget Monitoring 2022/23 - Additional Staffing Capacity for Children's Social Care
Report CSD22108

A motion to agree that a sum of £2.4m be drawn down from the 2022/23 contingency as detailed in paragraph 3.2.2 to the report to the Executive was moved by Councillor Colin Smith, seconded by Councillor Kate Lymer and **CARRIED.**

44 Capital Programme Monitoring - 1st Quarter 2022/23
Report CSD22109

A motion to approve the total increase of £8,478k to the Basic Needs capital scheme, £849k to the Capital Maintenance scheme and £2,443k to the Disabled Facilities Grant capital scheme, as set out in paragraphs 3.5.1 and

3.5.3 to the report to the Executive was moved by Councillor Colin Smith, seconded by Councillor Yvonne Bear and **CARRIED**.

45 To consider Motions of which notice has been given.

(A) Air Quality

The following motion was moved by Cllr Julie Ireland and seconded by Councillor Chloe-Jane Ross -

“New research has linked air pollution to lung cancer - with particulate matter (PM2.5) being found to trigger cell mutations that turn dormant cells cancerous. This goes some way to explaining why people who have never smoked get lung cancer.

The research was by the Francis Crick Institute and University College London, who presented the findings on 10 September 2022.

This discovery underlines the importance of monitoring PM2.5 as well as NO2. It adds to the weight of evidence about just how much long term damage is being done to the health of all of us, but particularly to our children who are breathing in these particulates that will stay in their bodies for the rest of their life.

We ask Bromley Council to take these urgent steps:

- Make a formal commitment to improving air quality in the whole Borough, whether urban or rural, and to contribute to the national efforts to improve air quality for everyone in the UK
- Extend current air quality monitoring in the borough to include formal reporting on particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10)
- Make impact on air quality a material consideration when making changes to highways, road layouts and junctions
- Make impact on air quality a material consideration for all planning applications.”

The following amendment was moved by Councillor Aisha Cuthbert and seconded by Cllr Thomas Turrell –

Remove full stop after ‘presented the findings on 10 September 2022’ and insert “via press release”.

Delete all after ‘rest of their life’ in paragraph 3.

Insert after ‘rest of their life’ -

“The Environment and Community Services Policy Development and Scrutiny Committee is asked to scrutinise the findings of PM2.5 once new data becomes available.

Bromley Council is monitoring PM2.5 levels at five different locations across the borough. The data we have collected so far indicates that PM2.5 is not a pollutant of concern locally. We are happy to report that Bromley meets all the national government guidelines, and we will continue seek improvements to our air quality to meet all future government guidelines.

The Council's commitment to being the greenest and cleanest borough in London extends to the quality of our air as well as the visible environment.

The Council is committed to having the cleanest air in London through the measures set out in our ambitious Air Quality Action Plan also sets out clear ways we will monitor pollution and improve air quality such as: anti idling campaigns, promoting walking to school initiatives, monitoring air quality at construction sites and seeking out new funding opportunities. Our ambition is to continue to improve our air quality, so we continue to have some of the cleanest air in London. Our air quality data is a positive indication that our air quality is improving and are committed to continuing this positive trajectory.

The Council also welcomes the commitment to protect and enhance the open and green spaces across the borough, with additional street trees, new woodlands, continued rollout of LED lights, our innovative domestic street parking EV charger pilot in addition to rolling out more EV charging points across the borough.”

On being put to the vote, the amendment was **CARRIED**.

The substantive motion therefore read:

“New research has linked air pollution to lung cancer - with particulate matter (PM2.5) being found to trigger cell mutations that turn dormant cells cancerous. This goes some way to explaining why people who have never smoked get lung cancer.

The research was by the Francis Crick Institute and University College London, who presented the findings on 10 September 2022 via press release.

This discovery underlines the importance of monitoring PM2.5 as well as NO2. It adds to the weight of evidence about just how much long term damage is being done to the health of all of us, but particularly to our children who are breathing in these particulates that will stay in their bodies for the rest of their life. The Environment and Community Services Policy Development and Scrutiny Committee is asked to scrutinise the findings of PM2.5 once new data becomes available.

Bromley Council is monitoring PM2.5 levels at five different locations across the borough. The data we have collected so far indicates that PM2.5 is not a

pollutant of concern locally. We are happy to report that Bromley meets all the national government guidelines, and we will continue seek improvements to our air quality to meet all future government guidelines.

The Council's commitment to being the greenest and cleanest borough in London extends to the quality of our air as well as the visible environment.

The Council is committed to having the cleanest air in London through the measures set out in our ambitious Air Quality Action Plan also sets out clear ways we will monitor pollution and improve air quality such as: anti idling campaigns, promoting walking to school initiatives, monitoring air quality at construction sites and seeking out new funding opportunities. Our ambition is to continue to improve our air quality, so we continue to have some of the cleanest air in London. Our air quality data is a positive indication that our air quality is improving and are committed to continuing this positive trajectory.

The Council also welcomes the commitment to protect and enhance the open and green spaces across the borough, with additional street trees, new woodlands, continued rollout of LED lights, our innovative domestic street parking EV charger pilot in addition to rolling out more EV charging points across the borough.”

On being put to the vote, the substantive motion was **CARRIED**.

(B) Supporting Bromley Food Banks and Warm Banks this Winter

The following motion was moved by Cllr Simon Jeal and seconded by Cllr Chris Price:

“Food banks within the borough of Bromley are reporting a significant continued rise in demand. At the same time they are suffering drop offs in donated items and rising costs in purchasing food. There is severe concern that this will get worse as more households struggle with continued food price increases and big rises in energy costs to cook and heat their homes this winter.

Bromley Council recognises the incredible work of charities in supporting our most vulnerable residents, and the increased challenges they face. The Council agrees to provide support by:

1. Asking the Public Affairs team to run a high-profile winter communication campaign, explaining how food banks operate and what support they provide, with the aim of encouraging residents and businesses in a position to do so to support them over the winter. This can leverage investment already made through the digital strategy and realignment of communications to the benefit of residents.
2. Setting up a one-off fund of £400,000, utilising unallocated earmarked reserves which are no longer required for their original purpose, to allow charities and communities operating ‘warm banks’ (venues for those who cannot afford to heat their homes to go and access heating, often with food

and volunteer provided support) to apply for grants towards the cost of their energy bills. This sum will allow 100 warm venues across Bromley to apply for heating bill grants of up to £2,500 each (depending on their availability to residents) and a further reserve fund £150,000 for use towards food and further support highlighted in point 3.

3. Actively engaging with food banks and other charities supporting vulnerable residents, to identify other ways the council can most effectively assist them over the winter period.”

The following amendment was moved by Councillor Sam Webber and seconded by Councillor Graeme Casey:

Add an additional paragraph to the motion, as follows:

“4. Implement a borough wide public information campaign to encourage and help people to reduce their energy consumption by

(a) setting up a dedicated section on the council website offering advice to Bromley residents from energy companies and accredited experts on how to minimise energy use, the financial support available plus a list of websites and phone numbers with further advice;

(b) include an information section about reducing energy consumption in the next copy of “Environment Matters” that gets delivered to Bromley households.”

On being put to the vote, the amendment was **LOST**.

The following Members voted in favour of the motion as originally moved -

Councillors Jeremy Adams, Jessica Arnold, Kathy Bance, Graeme Casey, Will Connolly, Alisa Igoe, Julie Ireland, Mike Jack, Simon Jeal, Kevin Kennedy-Brooks, Josh King, Tony McPartlan, Chris Price, Chloe-Jane Ross, Mark Smith, Alison Stammers, Sam Webber and Rebecca Wiffen.

The following Members voted against the motion -

Councillors Jonathan Andrews, Felicity Bainbridge, Yvonne Bear, Nicholas Bennett, Kim Botting, Mike Botting, Mark Brock, David Cartwright, Aisha Cuthbert, Peter Dean, Sophie Dunbar, Robert Evans, Simon Fawthrop, Kira Gabbert, Adam Grant, Dr Sunil Gupta, Christine Harris, Colin Hitchins, David Jefferys, Charles Joel, Jonathan Laidlaw, Kate Lymer, Alexa Michael, Keith Onslow, Tony Owen, Angela Page, Will Rowlands, Shaun Slator, Colin Smith, Diane Smith, Melanie Stevens, Harry Stranger, Michael Tickner, Pauline Tunnicliffe and Thomas Turrell.

The following Member abstained -

The Mayor, Cllr Hannah Gray.

The motion was **LOST**.

(C) Rail Services

(Councillor Simon Fawthrop declared an interest as a user of services on the Hayes Line to Cannon Street.)

The following motion was moved by Cllr Alexa Michael and seconded by Cllr Michael Tickner:

“This Council is opposed to the decision taken by Southeastern Railway to remove the services between Hayes and Cannon Street, as well reducing services on the Sidcup line affecting residents of Mottingham and Chislehurst.

We are extremely disappointed that this decision, which will greatly impact local journeys, was taken with no prior consultation of local rail users.

We commit to working with local Members of Parliament to lobby Southeastern for the reversal of this decision.

Of the timetable change, we welcome the reinstatement of the Beckenham Junction to Blackfriars service and congratulate all involved in the successful campaign to achieve this.”

The following amendment was moved by Councillor Tony McPartlan and seconded by Councillor Kevin Kennedy-Brooks:

“1. To add the following words to the end of the first paragraph –

“And the decision by Southern operator Govia to cut services to London Bridge stopping at Anerley and Penge West stations.”

2. Amend the third paragraph to: We commit to working with local Members of Parliament to lobby Southeastern, Govia and the Department of Transport for the reversal of this decision.”

On being put to the vote the amendment was **CARRIED**.

The substantive motion therefore read:

“This Council is opposed to the decision taken by Southeastern Railway to remove the services between Hayes and Cannon Street, as well reducing services on the Sidcup line affecting residents of Mottingham and Chislehurst and the decision by Southern operator Govia to cut services to London Bridge stopping at Anerley and Penge West stations.

We are extremely disappointed that this decision, which will greatly impact local journeys, was taken with no prior consultation of local rail users.

We commit to working with local Members of Parliament to lobby Southeastern, Govia and the Department for Transport for the reversal of this decision.

Of the timetable change, we welcome the reinstatement of the Beckenham Junction to Blackfriars service and congratulate all involved in the successful campaign to achieve this.”

On being put to the vote, the substantive motion was **CARRIED**.

46 The Mayor's announcements and communications.

The Mayor thanked colleagues who had attended the events following the death of Her Late Majesty The Queen, and also the event on Ukraine Independence Day, 24th August, supported by the Rotary Clubs.

The Mayor also informed Members about the following events –

- A Charity Appeal Dinner on Thursday 20th October 2022 at Copper Ceylon in East Street, Bromley.
- A Charity Ball at Oakley House on Saturday 19th November.
- The Concert originally scheduled for 24th September which had now been rearranged for Saturday 3rd December at 7.30pm at the Civic Centre in the Great Hall.
- A Whisky Tasting Burns night in January.
- A special dinner at the Honorable Artillery Company in the Spring (further details to follow.)
- The Mayor’s annual quiz scheduled for Friday 24th February at Crofton Halls.
- The prize draw to win a Spitfire flight.

The Meeting ended at 9.42 pm

Mayor

This page is left intentionally blank

Council

10 October 2022

Questions from Members of the Public for Oral Reply

- 1. From Helen Brookfield to the Portfolio Holder for Sustainability, Green Services and Open Spaces**
(As Ms Brookfield was unable to attend the meeting a written reply was sent)

What plans does the Council have for refurbishing the toilets in its parks given the very poor state of some of them, for example in Kelsey Park and in Croydon Road Recreation Ground in Beckenham?

Reply:

The Council is currently undertaking a holistic review of its Operational Property, part of which has been to conclude condition surveys on its built assets. The review is considering the funding implications that this exercise has identified and how these should be prioritised, with the outcome reported to the Executive in due course.

This page is left intentionally blank

Council

10 October 2022

Questions from Members of the Public for Written Reply

1. From Richard Edmonds to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

I am very concerned about dangerous vehicle speeds on College Road, Bromley, which are frequently well in excess of the 30mph limit. We now have a large nursery and playgroup on College Road with a large primary school just round the corner as well as shops and other businesses alongside mixed residential accommodation. Lots of pedestrians use and cross the road together with an increasing number of cyclists.

Enforcement of the current 30mph limit is essential before another serious or fatal accident occurs. Will the Council give this urgent attention?

Reply:

Speed enforcement remains the responsibility of the Police. The Council have no powers of enforcement for speeding.

I suggest you talk to the Plaistow Community Action Panel which sets local police priorities.

This page is left intentionally blank

Council

10 October 2022

Questions from Members of the Council for Oral Reply

1. From Cllr Alisa Igoe to the Leader of the Council

August press releases celebrated the opening of two of the first affordable housing schemes built on Council land, mentioning the “25 apartments off Burnt Ash Lane”. However, the latter, with free car park beneath, remains unfinished and closed and has overrun by a year. Would the Leader please extend his apologies to Plaistow residents and businesses, and those waiting to move in, for this major inconvenience, which has also resulted in a loss of footfall to Plaistow ward shops and services.

Reply:

Of course, I would happily apologise to anybody who felt let down by the Council, albeit in this case, as Councillor Igoe is aware having been personally briefed by officers and will I am sure have relayed accurately to any enquiring third party, the delay in question is not the Council’s fault.

I am certain that as soon as the snagging issues bedevilling the handover of this scheme are finally completed, which I am advised remains on schedule for the end of this month, that the residents moving into their new National Award winning Homes will find that the wait, as frustrating as it has been, has been worthwhile.

Regarding local businesses, my anecdotal understanding is that people have chosen to avail themselves of the 90 minutes free parking available at Lidl’s (providing they use it, of course) over recent months and it is also the case that the use of P&D on Burnt Ash Lane has jumped by over 80% this year compared to the same period between May and September 2019, so it is very much hoped that any interim foot fall issues will have proven limited in their scope.

What local businesses now have, and will benefit from moving forwards, is an additional 25 homes on their doorsteps which wouldn’t otherwise have been there, with whom they will be able to transact multiple repeat sales to, for the next 60 years.

2. From Cllr Tony McPartlan to the Leader of the Council:

Does the Leader of the Council really believe that Bromley’s poorest residents will benefit from the 'trickle down' economic policies recently announced by our new Prime Minister and Chancellor?

Reply:

The only recent correspondence I have seen which uses the phrase 'trickle down' following on from the Chancellor's recent Statement, has been from the left leaning Guardian Newspaper, so I respectfully suggest that you ask them.

Do I believe that Conservative Prime Ministers and Conservative Chancellors are better for every single resident of this Borough and indeed the entire Nation, than anything the Labour Party can ever hope to offer, irrespective of their social standing or financial status? Absolutely.

Supplementary Question:

As part of this policy package, it is looking quite likely that there will be substantial cuts coming to public services. In fact, earlier this month the Local Government Minister said that there is undoubtedly fat to be trimmed from local government budgets. So, in the light of this, can I get the Leader's commitment to everybody in Bromley that he will oppose, in the strongest form, any attempt to cut Bromley's local government funding?

Reply:

I am not only very happy not only to confirm that is the case, but I will also be absolutely delighted if colleagues across this chamber, irrespective of which desk they are sitting behind, were to join the campaign for Fairer Funding, which keeps getting booted into the long grass. I have had this conversation with Yvette Cooper as long ago as 2004. We were promised great change when the Conservatives got in, when we eventually got in with the Lib Dems we were told by Conservative colleagues we cannot do it as the Lib Dems will not let us. Come 2015 there were other things on people's minds, the Fairer Funding Review is becoming something of a fabled myth, and we need it as you will see when the budget setting process begins in a month or so. The financial backdrop, even in Bromley, and we are one of the better positioned Councils for finances and financial discipline, is that the end of the line is coming and there are already rumours of a good number Councils filing for bankruptcy, depending on the settlement. That will be something I will be very pleased to welcome all colleagues on, because it has gone far enough, and it needs to stop.

Additional supplementary question from Cllr Simon Jeal:

What is his position on the impact of massive unfunded tax cuts and the impact of the Chancellor's mini budget particularly in regards to the cost of Government borrowing increasing and mortgage rates increasing as a result?

Reply:

I am incredibly glad, ashamed it was proposed in the first place. When you make a mistake the best thing you can do is correct it immediately and apologise. Moving back up to 45% was the correct thing to do- it is just a shame the apology did not come a bit quicker.

3. From Cllr Josh King to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

Please can the Portfolio Holder comment on the delays to the completion of the plans for the Elmers End Road parade under the small parades initiative which I understand are due to the main contractor not digging tree pits.

Reply:

I understand that the application was made by a business that has ceased to exist. I have been told that there is still a reason to have this tree, so it will be planted in this coming season.

Supplementary Question:

The scheme in general has been delayed. I understand that a number of attempts to get in touch with the contractor have been unsuccessful. What is the process for dealing with lack of response from the contractor within this contract?

Reply:

If Councillor King would like to write to me I will look into it.

4. From Cllr Chris Price to the Leader of the Council

Would the Leader of the Council like to join me in welcoming the decision by our local NHS partner, Oxleas NHS Foundation, to be recognised as an accredited London Living Wage Employer?

Reply:

I have no opinion either way concerning Oxleas' decision, which is clearly theirs and theirs alone to make, within the confines of the budget made available to them via the wider NHS.

Supplementary Question:

It is a shame that you have chosen not to comment on this. Bromley is so close as an employer to being on the wage that we are looking at in London. I would encourage you to look at this from the Council's point of view from being seen as a good and fair employer, which is what we all want. We can take the opportunity to learn from what Oxleas have done and look at how we can implement this across the borough and our supply chain.

Reply:

Colleagues will be having discussions with staff concerning next year's pay rise over the course of coming weeks. I think that conversation needs to be had with the staff first rather than speculated upon. I will refrain from commenting at this stage.

5. From Cllr Will Connolly to the Portfolio Holder for Sustainability, Green Services and Open Spaces

Can the Portfolio Holder please report on the Council's public toilet provision and any areas planned for improvements, including the community scheme provision?

Reply:

There are currently 30 public toilets across the borough, including those that are part of the Community Toilet Scheme and those located in parks. New applications to the Community Toilet Scheme are always welcomed by the Council.

The Council successfully bid for £220k of government funding last year to bring forward four Changing Places Toilets for people with disabilities at sites across the borough. These sites are Crystal Palace Park, High Elms, and the PRUH, with the fourth site to be confirmed. Additionally, the new West Wickham library building will include public toilets including a Changing Places toilet, and the Crystal Palace Park Trust is also planning investment in the park toilet block near the Penge entrance.

Supplementary Question:

I think we can all agree that from the residents complaints we get in casework, public toilets are really essential in all of our wards, including in our amazing parks. Good public toilet provision is essential for the local economy, for our high streets and communities, including for disabilities as you pointed out. Is the Portfolio Holder aware that one in five people do not go out as much as they would like to, and suffer with loneliness because of the concern over amenity and lack of public toilets? We have reached out to some friends at Age UK London and research has shown that 81% of Londoners do say they would be more likely to visit our high streets, our parks, our cafes and our businesses if there was better provision.

Reply:

As former Loneliness Champion I did not realise that statistic and I am very interested to hear that. From our point of view, we are always looking for more businesses to join the Community Toilets Scheme we are always looking for more businesses to join the Scheme, so if you have potential businesses you would like to send to the Council please do. The other thing is officers are always looking for more grant funding. We had the successful grant funding and hopefully when new funding becomes available we can be successful with future grant funding for more public toilets.

Additional Supplementary Question from Cllr Simon Jeal:

How many of those thirty toilets are currently accessible for residents with mobility issues and also can she concern whether there is one community toilet within each ward in the borough?

Reply:

I will have to get back to you as I do not have that information to hand.

Additional supplementary question from Cllr Alisa Igoe:

That is very interesting about the £220,000 from Changing Places which I had previously read about. I have also read that there is some more funding from that department of £6.5m and I believe Bromley is on the list of local authorities that can apply for that money. Will we be doing so?

Reply:

Yes.

6. From Cllr Jeremy Adams to the Portfolio Holder for Resources, Commissioning and Contract Management (in Cllr Marlow's absence, the question was taken by the Leader of the Council)

Since Bromley Council's decision to invest in short-dated UK government bonds in November 2021, three-year gilts have fallen in value by about 9% (as at 26.9.22). Could the Portfolio Holder please confirm the current value of the Council's gilts and update councillors on any plans to invest further in UK government bonds?

Reply:

There are currently no UK gilts held within treasury management.

There was a revised treasury policy adopted in November 2021, agreed by the then Portfolio Holder following consideration by Executive, Resources and Contracts PDS Committee. This policy related to the option to invest in sterling denominated non-UK sovereign bonds which are FCA regulated, subject to a maximum exposure of £25m for no more than three years. The minimum credit rating was A-.

An investment of £10m was made, supported by the then Portfolio Holder, in the Israel Jubilee Bond which offered a favourable rate of interest at the time of 1.84%; This investment generated additional income for the Council, helping to fund public services.

Within treasury management all such investments are held to maturity and the original investment amount will be returned on maturity of the bond.

There are currently no plans to invest in short-dated UK or non-UK sovereign bonds.

7. From Cllr Kathy Bance to the Portfolio Holder for Children, Education and Families

LBB are responsible for the Safeguarding of all Bromley's pupils. Does that mean we have statistics for the numbers of pupils on Free School Meals? If not can we request numbers of pupils on free school meals from all of our schools?

Reply:

There are 7,874 pupils at Bromley schools eligible for free school meals. Please note that this number will include out of borough children attending Bromley schools.

Supplementary Question:

Your answer was eligible, not those receiving. I am bothered by a report which shows that 10% of our families do not apply for free school meals, even though they are eligible because of the bureaucracy and the stigma around free school meals. In our Safeguarding Procedures, are we missing a safety net if we do not know that each of our children are not receiving at least one hot meal a day?

Reply:

I am happy to investigate with our Director of Education and look into that issue. It is something that our schools manage and they communicate with parents on a regular basis in newsletters and at parents' evenings. There is always more we can do, especially if there are people who could be getting it. I am very happy to take that away and look into that for you.

Additional supplementary question from Cllr Simon Jeal:

What discussions have you and the Director of Education had with schools over the rising costs of food and whether this is impacting schools' ability to provide meals or rising costs for parents of low income?

Reply:

I am not aware of the conversations that the Director of Education has had, but he may well have done. I know he has brought to the Schools Forum issues about the costs of school clubs – breakfast clubs. I am happy to follow this up after the meeting. We have been supporting children through the last summer holidays with free meals and we have funding in place for this half term and the Christmas holidays through the Household Support Grant to issue supermarket vouchers like we have done in the past.

8. From Cllr Simon Jeal to the Portfolio Holder for Children, Education and Families

In 2018 Council officers were looking into arrangements needed for Bromley to establish a Young Mayor (as many other Councils have) could you please explain why this has not progressed?

Reply:

Having looked into the proposal of creating the post of Young Mayor I have reached the decision that this is not something I would wish to pursue.

My decision is influenced by two key factors. Firstly, there will be a cost attached to the creation of this role, and although it is unlikely to be a large amount it is still an additional and unbudgeted cost which comes at a time where the Council is needing to watch all elements of its spend however large or small.

Secondly, the Council already facilitates the active engagement of young people in local political issues through the Bromley Youth Council, as well as also ensuring that our Children in Care have a strong and active voice through the Children in Care Council.

The Council (via Youth Services) already fund and support a number of representatives from the Youth Council to actively participate in a number of PDS committees as well as running their own council meetings. It also facilitates the annual elections in their schools of new members to the Youth Council, and we assist them to run their annual Manifesto event where they draw up their campaigning issues for the year.

The Council also assists our Children in Care to be actively involved in issues that impact on them and other young people – in particular in relation to their education and transition into adulthood.

On this basis I am satisfied that our young people already have a strong voice and that the Council continues to support them sufficiently to articulate that voice.

Supplementary Question:

You mentioned an unbudgeted cost – can you confirm what the estimated cost of a Young Mayor would be? You also did not mention any of the benefits that having a Young Mayor to the Borough; have you considered those as part of your thinking?

Reply

I do not know the cost, but it would be small. We do have a massive overspend in our department at the moment, so we have to ensure that every penny that is spent is spent on the most vulnerable children, not on something that is superfluous and replaces something that we do already very well indeed.

In terms of the benefits, I think I have already articulated that we already have many benefits from the system we have in place. I have been going to Youth Council meetings for over a decade and not once has a young person ever come to me and asked for a Young Mayor. I am not sure where the demand is – the only person who has ever requested it is yourself.

Additional Supplementary question from Cllr Alisa Igoe:

Can I ask as a mother of two twenty year olds, what communication channel do you use to speak to young people in Bromley as I am sure that my two children are unaware of any of these initiatives that you have spoken about?

Reply:

The Youth Council is present in nearly every school in the borough. It is advertised through school channels, not through us. The Youth Services Team will manage that process through the schools themselves. Nearly every school takes part in it – they have proper elections where they have a room with polling booths and they put a

cross against the person they want as their representative. It is quite a big thing in their schools, and they should know all about it. As I said, nearly every school in the borough takes part.

9. From Cllr Kevin Kennedy-Brooks to the Portfolio Holder for Adult Care and Health Services

During the last Adult Care and Health PDS the Portfolio Holder assured me conversations were taking place as how the Council will support its various community centres amid the cost of living crisis where, despite government support packages, energy prices have soared. What is the latest in terms of progress in discussions, support being considered and those involved in the discussions?

Reply:

With regards to the Council run community services, specific to the Loneliness agenda and the action plan which was under discussion at the PDS meeting, work remains ongoing with Officer colleagues and Community Links Bromley and our voluntary sector partners to discuss options of support that may be available.

To date no requests for assistance have been received.

Supplementary Question:

This is a huge issue, and I really wanted to see if there was some more meat on that response. I know that in my ward and in others there is great concerns with people who are running centres which provide things such as food banks and support for people with Learning Difficulties. These are also potential places for Warm Spaces – that is also something that is coming up. How far does the Portfolio Holder see these discussions going and when would she be able to provide a more detailed answer about exactly what questions and solutions are being looked at?

Reply:

I think it is important to note that since the PDS meeting the Government support package around businesses and energy costs has been confirmed and this is applicable for charities and community providers. I would encourage people that are looking at their utility bills to apply under that scheme, which is very well evidenced on the Gov.UK website.

With regard to the questions around warm banks and food banks, I think that is something that is going to be coming up later on in this meeting under one of the motions.

Council

10 October 2022

Questions from Members of the Council for Written Reply

1. From Cllr Mike Jack to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

Like a lot of areas in our Borough Chislehurst has a number of residents with mobility issues, which can make getting around a huge challenge. The main reasons are that lack of drop kerbs, positioning of street furniture, uneven surfaces, and steep cambers. In a lot of cases these obstacles put our vulnerable residents in high-risk situations, such as having to use the road or worse not being able to go out at all. I have been told these problems will only be addressed when footpaths are reconstructed and that currently there is no budget available to address these issues

In light of Bromley's Corporate plan where our vision is to make Bromley a fantastic place to live and work, where everyone can lead healthy, safe, and independent lives can you let me know if/when there are plans to make money available across the borough so issues like this can be resolved, giving residents with mobility issues – their own independence and keeping them safe.

Reply:

Cases are assessed on a case by case basis.

2. From Cllr Tony McPartlan to the Portfolio Holder for Renewal Recreation and Housing

Despite the issues with the Zed Pods in Plaistow, it is good to see the Council building and delivering their own affordable homes in Plaistow, Chislehurst and Anerley. The Local Plan 2019 and other subsequent documents highlighted multiple other sites that could be used for this purpose. Please provide an update on all of the proposed sites, detailing what role (if any) each site will play in the future delivery of affordable housing.

Reply:

The feasibility of sites in Council ownership continues to be reviewed. Where self-delivery of more housing seems viable, reports will be brought forward to committee.

Table 4 of the latest Bromley Housing Trajectory (available here: <https://www.bromley.gov.uk/downloads/file/669/bromley-housing-trajectory>) sets out the number of residential units expected to be delivered by Local Plan site allocations and indicates a projected delivery period for these units.

As part of the Local Plan review, the Council will investigate the potential for new or updated housing site allocations, to help meet the Borough's housing target.

3. From Cllr Alisa Igoe to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

Cycle to School Week was 3–7 October. Our excellent cycle training team teach school children Bikeability Levels 1, 2 and 3, with the latter covering road conditions, responding to hazards and challenging traffic scenarios. Could you please list by location both the non-segregated and segregated cycle lanes that have been installed directly linking to Bromley school sites, since beginning of 2018?

Reply:

1. The new Kent House to Lower Sydenham segregated cycle route links directly to Harris Bromley secondary school.
2. A non-segregated cycle route links Bishop Challoner School to Shortlands and to Beckenham.

4. From Cllr Mark Smith to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

Utility companies are routinely fined if their roadworks overrun without a valid extension. Residents of Chislehurst Ward has been particularly inconvenienced by series of water leaks, have resulted in Thames Water digging up many roads to carry out repairs.

Could he therefore confirm:

How much the Council have received from utility companies in fines in the last twelve months?

How this money will be allocated for the benefit of Chislehurst residents to compensate for the inconvenience of blocked roads and reduced water supplies?

Reply:

During the last financial year, the Council issues charges of £142k to utility companies for over-running streetworks across the borough. These charges are part of the Council's overall income budgets and are not hypothecated to any specific service or area.

5. From Cllr Chloe-Jane Ross to the Portfolio Holder for Renewal, Recreation and Housing

Has the government contacted Bromley Council regarding hosting one of the new Investment Zones, and if not is this something the Council is looking to pursue?

Reply:

There has been a general call for local authorities to suggest potential areas to be considered for investment zones. We are aware of the proposals and are considering the potential benefits and implications.

6. From Cllr Chloe-Jane Ross to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

Is Bromley Council planning to reduce the availability of car park ticketing machines in favour of the Ringo app? If so what provisions are being made for the digitally excluded, and what % reduction of machines is anticipated?

Reply:

A report will be made to the Environment and Community Services PDS Committee in November, it will include a Equality Impact Assessment.

A decision in principle to move to a cashless system has been council policy since November 2019.

7. From Cllr Kathy Bance to the Portfolio Holder for Children, Education and Families

Please provide statistics for Bromley pupil exclusions for the past 5 years per ward and school order.

Reply:

(Information was supplied to Councillor Bance after the meeting.)

8. From Cllr Simon Jeal to the Portfolio Holder for Public Protection and Enforcement

In each of the past four years, could you please provide data for how many complaints or reports of unsatisfactory living conditions has the Council received from Bromley residents placed into Housing Association and private accommodation, broken down by the Housing Association or private landlord/lettings company they relate to?

Reply:

Public Protection & Enforcement keep some data in relation to those making queries about housing disrepair. Prior to 2022 (this year) this information was recorded as absolute totals, but not by occupancy type. Owner Occupiers, Residential Social Landlords and Private Rented Property are now coded for recording purposes moving forward.

Those reporting disrepair may own, rent privately, rent privately on housing benefit, or rent socially. Unfortunately, the data currently collected does not allow the Service to identify how the occupier/tenant came to be at a property in disrepair. In relation to

the latter two categories the tenant may have been placed by LB Bromley, another authority, or another organisation. So, unfortunately it is not possible to answer this question in the detail requested.

The housing enforcement team's disrepair case numbers, by fiscal year, are available and can be seen below:

17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22
391	357	405	767	598

9. From Cllr Simon Jeal to the Portfolio Holder for Renewal, Recreation and Housing

How many residents have presented to the Council as homeless each month since the moratorium on evictions implemented by the Government during the pandemic ended, and of these, how many became homeless as a result of receiving a 'section 21' eviction?

Reply:

Between the 31/5/21-29/9/22 there were 4,231 approaches to the Council's Housing Options Service. Of these 1,421 indicated that they were being evicted / asked to leave where they were staying (this will include parental / relative evictions as well as evictions from rented accommodation). Of these 72 households were assessed as being homeless or threatened with homelessness due to the service of a valid s21 notice.

10. From Cllr Rebecca Wiffen to the Portfolio Holder for Resources, Commissioning and Contract Management

The government's Energy Bill Relief Scheme is likely to fall short of enabling charities and local community organisations to pay rising bills over the coming weeks. As a result, not-for-profit local hubs that provide invaluable services to the communities they serve will have to close their doors. What support can Bromley Council provide organisations that find themselves in these circumstances, such as the Sanderson Hall in St Paul's Cray?

Reply:

The energy bill relief scheme provides support to organisations (non-domestic), which aims to match household support, and the commitment is made until the end of March 2023.

This effectively provides a cap on energy prices where the Government will fund energy suppliers directly which will assist towards reducing bills.

There continue to be various discussions taking place within the Council and with partners to determine support that may be available. Detailed information has been

made available via a joined up approach with the voluntary sector and other partners and useful information has been posted on the website:

<https://www.bromley.gov.uk/supportingresidents>.

Within the ever-changing climate it will be important that we continue to adapt to respond to the evolving policy direction at a national level and we will continue to promote opportunities to obtain support as these become available.

11. From Cllr Ruth McGregor to the Portfolio Holder for Resources, Commissioning and Contract Management

Could you please advise the number of cases of council tax debt referred to external enforcement agents for recovery, and the recovery rates for these, and what proactive steps are taken to facilitate payment of the debt before proceeding with court hearings. Also do you have figures for the number of complaints made against enforcement agents?

Reply:

The number of cases referred to the Enforcement Agents for the current financial year is shown in the table below.

Council Tax cases referred to the Enforcement Agents (EA)

Financial Year 2022/23	Number of cases	Value of cases	Collection rate
01/04/22 - 31/08/22	4,653	£4,513,132	8%
01/09/22 - 30/09/22	40	£222,979	Not available
Total cases referred to the EA	4,693	£4,736,111	

Prior to issuing a summons and proceeding with a court hearing to obtain a Liability Order the following steps are taken to facilitate payment:

- The initial annual bill details the instalment amounts, the dates they are due and the options for making payment.
- If an instalment is not paid, the reminder letter provides further opportunity for the taxpayer to bring the account up to date and advises them to contact the council if they are facing financial difficulties or are struggling to pay. It also provides a link to allow them to reschedule their payments using an online payment arrangement tool.

The reminder also informs the taxpayer that failure to bring the account up to date could result in losing their right to pay by instalments with a summons being issued for the full balance plus court costs.

- If the taxpayer brings the account up to date but then misses another instalment, they are sent another reminder letter giving them a further opportunity to bring the account up to date or contact the Council if they are experiencing financial difficulties.

The same warning is included regarding losing the right to pay by instalments and the issuing of a summons.

- Where an account remains in arrears, a Final Notice is issued saying that the taxpayer has lost the right to pay by instalments and must pay the full balance due on the account. The letter advises that unless they pay this balance by the date specified, they will receive a summons which will incur legal costs.
- If the full balance remains unpaid then a summons is issued. Included with the summons is information for the online portal which can be used to make a payment arrangement. The taxpayer is also given the dates and times for the summons surgery where they can discuss the debt and any financial difficulties they may be experiencing. The contact details for Benefits/Council Tax Support is provided as well as Citizens Advice Bromley should they wish to speak to an independent party about their debt.
- If the debt remains outstanding, the Liability Order is obtained. At this point we can still agree to a discretionary payment arrangement if the taxpayer contacts us to advise of financial difficulty. If no contact is made the case is passed to the Enforcement Agent to collect the debt.

Throughout the recovery process taxpayers are encouraged to contact the Council if they are struggling to make payment. This allows them to discuss their financial situation and agree on a revised payment plan. The Council website also includes advice on the Council Tax Payment page for how to contact the Council if the taxpayer is experiencing financial difficulties. There are also links to independent organisations who can provide additional support and information such as Citizens Advice Bureau, National Debtline, Stepchange Debt Charity, Money Advice Service and Debt Advice Foundation.

During 2022/23 six complaints have been referred to the Enforcement Agents for investigation.

12. From Cllr Mark Smith to the Leader of the Council

What steps has the borough taken in the last 12 months to fulfil its obligations in respect of the Armed Forces Covenant it signed up to in April 2013?

Reply:

My advice from Officers is that we are continuing to:

- Build relations with local and regional organisations working with members of the armed forces and their families
- Bring information together on organisations, advice and support agencies (there is a dedicated page on contacts on our website but we have been looking to source additional contacts eg: charities who work specifically with members of the armed forces)
- Promote and support national events such as armed forces day and working with local organisations, the BIDS and so forth to support and celebrate these days
- Signposting to skills, education, training and employment activities
- Ensure that armed forces members and their families are supported and recognised in a number of key areas including, housing allocation policies, school admissions and access to health, advice and support services.
- Pursue a date for a representative from MOD to visit to produce a first draft for consideration by end of calendar year with a view to the adoption and re-signing of our covenant in the new year.

Everyone involved aspire to move all related matters forward at the earliest possible opportunity

This page is left intentionally blank